

Action Requested

- New Course
- Modification of Existing Course
- Deletion of Course

Complete the following sections:

- New Courses - B & C completely
- Modifications - A modified information, B & C completely
- Deletions - A & C completely


A. CURRENT LISTING

B. REQUESTED LISTING

|  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
|--|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Home Department  |   | Course Number                            |                            | Home Department                                |  | Course Number  |                                       |
|  |   |  |                            | IOE Industrial & Operations Engin              |  | 568  |                                       |
| Cross Listed Course Information  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Course Title   |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Statistical Learning & Applications in Quality Engineering   |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| TITLE  | Time Sched  |  |                            | TITLE  | Time Sched                                   | Stats Learning & Appl                                |                                       |
| ABBRE-   | Max = 19 Spaces                                   |  |                            | ABBRE-   | Max = 19 Spaces                              | Stats Learning & Appl                                |                                       |
| VIATION  | Transcript  |  |                            | VIATION  | Transcript                                   | Stats Learning & Appl                                |                                       |
|  | Max = 20 Spaces                                   |  |                            |  | Max = 20 Spaces                              |  |                                       |
| Course Description   |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Course Description for Official Publication (Max = 50 words)   |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Statistical learning and data transformation methods to advance quality control techniques for variation reduction. Focus on feature extraction of waveform signals, change point detection for system monitoring, data pattern recognition for fault diagnosis, and Bayes/reinforcement learning for decision making. |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| <b>PROGRAM OUTCOMES:</b>   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> a               | <input type="checkbox"/> c | <input type="checkbox"/> e                     | <input type="checkbox"/> g                   | <input type="checkbox"/> i                           | <input type="checkbox"/> k            |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> b               | <input type="checkbox"/> d | <input type="checkbox"/> f                     | <input type="checkbox"/> h                   | <input type="checkbox"/> j                           |                                       |
| <b>PROGRAM OUTCOMES:</b>   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> a               | <input type="checkbox"/> c | <input type="checkbox"/> e                     | <input type="checkbox"/> g                   | <input type="checkbox"/> i                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> k |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> b               | <input type="checkbox"/> d | <input type="checkbox"/> f                     | <input type="checkbox"/> h                   | <input type="checkbox"/> j                           |                                       |
| <b>Degree Requirements</b>   |   | <input type="radio"/> Degree Requirement |                            | <input type="radio"/> Free Elective            |  | <input type="radio"/> Other                          |                                       |
|  |   | <input type="radio"/> Core Course        |                            | <input type="radio"/> Tech Elective            |  |  |                                       |
| <b>Degree Requirements</b>   |   | <input type="radio"/> Degree Requirement |                            | <input type="radio"/> Free Elective            |  | <input type="radio"/> Other                          |                                       |
|  |   | <input type="radio"/> Core Course        |                            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Tech Elective |  |  |                                       |
| Prereq   |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Enforced   |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Advised  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Prereq IOE 466 or Stats 500  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Enforced  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Advised  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Credit Restrictions  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| Credit Restrictions  |   |  |                            |  |  |  |                                       |
| <b>Level of Credit</b>   |   | Credit Hours                             |                            | Contact Hrs/Wk                                 |  | Level of Credit                                      |                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Undergrad only  | <input type="checkbox"/> Ugrad or Non-Rckhm: Grad | Min                                      | Max                        | Number of Wks                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Undergrad only      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ugrad or Non-Rckhm Grad     | Credit Hours                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rackham Grad  | <input type="checkbox"/> All Credit types         |  |                            |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Rackham Grad        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Credit types | Min                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Rckhm Grad  | <input type="checkbox"/> Rckhm Grad w/add'l Work  |  |                            |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Rckhm Grad      | <input type="checkbox"/> Rckhm Grad w/add'l Work     | Max                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ugrad or Rckhm Grad   |   |  |                            |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Ugrad or Rckhm Grad |  | Number of Wks                         |
|  |   |  |                            |  |  |  | 3                                     |
|  |   |  |                            |  |  |  | 3                                     |
|  |   |  |                            |  |  |  | 14                                    |

Repeatability (Indi Research, Dir. Study, Dissertation): Is this course repeatable?  Yes  No Max Hours? 3 Max Times? 1 Can it be repeated in the same term?  Yes  No

|   |                              |                              |                                |   |                                    |   |             |              |  |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Class Type(s)</b>                    |                              | <b>Grading</b>               |                                | <b>Location</b>                             |                                    | <b>Cognizant Faculty Member:</b>  |             | <b>Title</b> |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lec | <input type="checkbox"/> Sem | <input type="checkbox"/> Dis | <input type="checkbox"/> Other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A-E     | <input type="checkbox"/> CR/NC     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ann Arbor   | J. Judy Jin | Professor    |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rec            | <input type="checkbox"/> Lab | <input type="checkbox"/> Ind |                                | <input type="checkbox"/> P/F                | <input type="checkbox"/> S/U       | <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Station   |             |              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rec            | <input type="checkbox"/> Lab | <input type="checkbox"/> Ind |                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Camp Davis         | <input type="checkbox"/> Extension |   |             |              |  |
| <b>Graded Section</b>                   |                              |                              |                                |   |                                    | Grad Course: Attach nomination if Cognizant Faculty is not a regular graduate faculty |             |              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lec            | <input type="checkbox"/> Sem | <input type="checkbox"/> Dis | <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Course Is Y Graded <input type="checkbox"/> |                                    |   |             |              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rec            | <input type="checkbox"/> Lab | <input type="checkbox"/> Ind |                                |   |                                    |   |             |              |  |

|  |  |                         |  |                      |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| <b>Approval Info</b>                         |  | <b>Approved by Name</b> |  | <b>Approved Date</b> |  | <b>Submitted By:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Home Dept. <input type="checkbox"/> Cross-listed Dept. |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Curriculum Comm.    |  | _____                   |  | _____                |  | Department Chair Name  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Faculty             |  | _____                   |  | _____                |  | Home Dept. [IOE] Mark S. Daskin  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cross listed Unit 1 |  | _____                   |  | _____                |  | Chair Signature  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cross listed Unit 2 |  | _____                   |  | _____                |  |                 |  |
|  |  | _____                   |  | _____                |  | Cross-listed Dept(s)   |  |
|  |  | _____                   |  | _____                |  |  |  |

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

see attached documentation

Lined area for supporting statement content.

Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?  Yes  No

Detail the Special requirements

Lined area for detailing special requirements.

## **IOE591- Statistical Learning and Applications in Quality Engineering (3credits)**

Instructor: Judy Jin (IOE)

Winter 2013 (9:30am~11am Tu/Th)

### **Course justification and description:**

The wide deployment and applications of automatic sensing devices and computer systems have resulted in a temporally and spatially dense data-rich environment, which provides unprecedented opportunities for quality improvement in various engineering systems. However, conventional SPC (statistical process control) techniques that mainly focus on sampling theory and control charting techniques do not fulfill the needs due to complex variation patterns concealed in high dimensional heterogeneous data collected from disparate sources. Therefore, there are increasing interests in integrating advanced statistical learning and data transformation methods to advance conventional SPC techniques for improving monitoring, diagnosis and decision making performance. This course aims to provide graduate students with the knowledge and skills necessary to understand and apply statistical learning and data transformation methods to advance quality control techniques for variation reduction in complex system operations. Topics covered will include the selected statistical learning and data transformation methods for feature extraction from high dimensional functional waveform data, changes detection for online system monitoring, data pattern recognition for fault diagnosis and knowledge discovery, and Bayes and reinforcement learning for decision making.

### **Pre-requisite courses:**

Probability and statistics (Equivalent to IOE265, IOE366, or Stats 500)  
Statistical Process Control (Equivalent to IOE466)

### **Textbook and Software:**

- Required textbook: Duda, R. O., Hart, P. E., and Stork, D. G., *Pattern Classification, 2nd ed.*, Wiley, 2001.
- Required software skill: Matlab will be used in the course for homework and projects.
- Optional reference book: "The Elements of Statistical Learning" by Hastie, Tibshirani and Friedman (2001). Springer-Verlag.
- Optional Matlab program reference: Stork, D. G. and Yom-Tov E., *Computer Manual in MATLAB to Accompany Pattern Classification*, Wiley, 2004.

Additional lecture notes will be provided for FFT/short time frequency analysis and wavelets on ctools course website

## Tentative course outline

| Winter 2013: starts on Jan 9th Wednesday |        |  |
|--|--------|--|
| week                                     | Date   | Topic  |
| 1  | 10-Jan | Course introduction (course overview and requirements)   |
| 2  | 15-Jan | overview of advance quality control topics   |
|  |        | <b>Improve online process monitoring decision</b>  |
|  | 17-Jan | MLE and Bayesian Estimation  |
| 3  | 22-Jan | Bayesian classifier and Naïve Bayes  |
|  | 24-Jan | discriminant functions vs multivariate monitoring  |
| 4  | 29-Jan | Minimum error classification vs optimal process monitoring                                     |
|  | 31-Jan | Bayesian estimation and adaptive process monitoring  |
|  |        | <b>Extract informative features for data dimension reduction (nonparametric approach)</b>      |
| 5  | 5-Feb  | variation pattern analysis via Principal Component Analysis (PCA)                              |
|  | 7-Feb  | oscillation signal analysis via FFT /short time frequency analysis                             |
| 6  | 12-Feb | nonstationary irregular signals using wavelets (I: wavelets transform basis)                   |
|  | 14-Feb | nonstationary irregular signals using wavelets (II: wavelets thresholding)                     |
| 7  | 19-Feb | Feature selection/search methods   |
|  | 21-Feb | multivariate process monitoring using transformed features                                     |
| 8  | 26-Feb | multivariate process monitoring using mixed effect model of transformed features               |
|  | 28-Feb | Exam 1   |
| 9  | 5-Mar  | vacation   |
|  | 7-Mar  | vacation   |
|  |        | <b>Data pattern identification for variation inference, diagnosis, and knowledge discovery</b> |
| 10                                       | 12-Mar | SVM classifier   |
|  | 14-Mar | Kernel methods   |
| 11                                       | 19-Mar | decision trees   |
|  | 21-Mar | K-mean clustering  |
| 12                                       | 26-Mar | unsupervised Bayesian learning   |
|  | 28-Mar | cluster metric and distance measures   |
| 13                                       | 2-Apr  | criterion functions for clustering   |
|  | 4-Apr  | hierarchical clustering  |
| 14                                       | 9-Apr  | Exam 2   |
|  |        | <b>Introduce other selected topics depend on class students' interests</b>                     |
|  | 11-Apr | logistic regression / B-spline regression  |
| 15                                       | 16-Apr | Complex PCA, Multiple linear PCA, ICA, Sparse components analysis                              |
|  | 18-Apr | rule based learning, reinforcement learning  |
| 16                                       | 23-Apr | students' project presentation   |

Course grading (3credits): Total=100%

|                                      |                  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Homework                             | = 40%            |
| Exam 1 and Exam 2                    | = 30% (15% each) |
| Team project                         | =30%             |
| (Report + Presentation + programming | = 10%+10%+10%)   |

### Homework (Limited collaboration):

You may discuss this homework assignment with your fellow students at the conceptual level, but must complete all calculations and write-up, from scrap to final form, on your own. Verbatim copying of another student's work is forbidden. You may not consult homework solutions from a

previous term unless they are made available in a publicly accessible form (no unfair advantage can be sought).

**Exams:**

Each student must complete the exam solely by her or his own effort. The exam must be completed within the specified time. Open book and open notes in the exams.

**Group Project Work:**

All group work is to be completed only within your own group. The group members are self-formed. The group size will be determined later based on the class registration.

**Project Requirement**

The total project grade will be 30% of your final grade, which includes 3 parts: report, presentation, and Matlab programming and documentation (each 10%.)

**1. Report Requirements (Last day of the class)**

The contents of the report must include:

- Introduction (i.e. background, objectives, and a brief summary of the results)
- Description of the proposed approaches/methods/analysis procedures/flowchart
- Detailed data analysis results, comparison with traditional methods, and justification of your new findings/contributions
- Conclusions (your method's advantages and limitations)
- Future work

**2. Presentation Requirements**

- All students are required to attend and provide the grade for other groups' presentation.
- Each group will give a 25 minute presentation, plus additional 5 mins for questions.

**3. Matlab code and program documentation (electronic files)**

- Matlab codes with essential comments in your codes about the purpose/ functions;
- provide flowcharts by listing your major modules/functions, and their relationships
- Provide the documentation to explain how to install and run your program
- Provide the data documentation to explain your data structures
- Provide your data set used in the project.

## Additional references

### Linear/nonlinear profile monitoring

1. Kang, L., and Albin, S. L. (2000) On-line Monitoring When the Process Yields a Linear Profile. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 32, 418-426.
2. Kim, K., Mahmoud, M. A., and Woodall, W. H. (2003) On the Monitoring of Linear Profiles. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 35, 317-328.
3. Mahmoud, M. A. and Woodall, W. H. (2004) Phase I Analysis of Linear Profiles with Calibration Applications. *Technometrics*, 46, 380-391.
4. Mahmoud, M. A., Parker, P. A., Woodall, W. H., and Hawkins, D. M. (2006) A Change Point Method for Linear Profile Data. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 23, 247-268.
5. Paynabar, K., Jin, J., and Yeh, A. "Phase I Risk-Adjusted Control Charts for Monitoring Surgical Performance by Considering Categorical Covariates," to appear in *Journal of Quality Technology*.
6. Walker, E., and Wright, S. P. (2002) Comparing Curves Using Additive Models. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 34, 118-129.
7. Williams, J. D., Woodall, W. H., and Birch, J. B. (2007) Phase I analysis of nonlinear product and process quality profiles. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 23, 925-941.
8. Williams, J. D., Woodall, W. H., and Birch, J. B. (2007) Phase I analysis of nonlinear product and process quality profiles. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 23, 925-941.

### Monitoring and diagnosis using clustering/classification

9. Ding, Y., Zeng, L., Zhou S., (2006) Phase I analysis for monitoring nonlinear profiles in manufacturing processes. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 38, 199-216.
10. Jin, J., and Shi, J. (2001). Automatic feature extraction of waveform signals for in-process diagnostic performance improvement. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 12, 140-145.
11. Lei, Y., Zhang, Z., and Jin, J. (2010) "Automatic Tonnage Monitoring for Missing Part Detection in Multi-Operation Forging Processes," *ASME Transactions, Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, Vol. 132, No. 5.
12. Yang, Q. Jin, J., and Chang, T. (2010) "Automatic Feature Extraction and Classification of Surface Defects in Continuous Casting," *Transactions of the NAMRI/SME*, Vol.38, pp.563-570.
13. Zhang, H., and Albin, S. (2007) Determining the number of operational modes in baseline multivariate SPC data. *IIE Transactions-Quality and Reliability Engineering*, 39, 1103-1110.

14. Ertoz, L., Steinbach, M., and Kumar, V. (2003) Finding clusters of different sizes, shapes and densities in noisy high dimensional data. Presented at the SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, San Francisco, CA, USA, May 2003.
15. Fraley, C., and Raftery, A. (1998) How many clusters? Which clustering method? Answers via model-based cluster analysis. *The Computer Journal*, **41**, 578–588.
16. Kothari, R., and Pitts, D. (1999) On finding the number of clusters. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, **20**, 405–416.
17. Zou, C., Tsung, F., and Wang, Z. (2008) Monitoring Profiles Based on Nonparametric Regression Methods. *Technometrics*, **50**, 512-526.

#### **Wavelets denoising and nonlinear profile monitoring**

18. Chicken, E., Pignatiello, J. J., and Simpson, J. R. (2009) Statistical process monitoring of nonlinear profiles using wavelets. *Journal of Quality Technology*, **41**, 198-212.
19. Donoho, D. L., and Johnstone, I. M. (1995) Adapting to unknown smoothness via wavelet shrinkage. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, **90**, 1200–1224.
20. Fan, J. (1996) Test of Significance Based on Wavelet Thresholding and Neyman's Truncation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **91**, 674-688.
21. Jeong, M. K., Lu, J. C., and Wang, N. (2006) Wavelet-based SPC procedure for complicated functional data. *International Journal of Production Research*, **44**, 729-744.
22. Jin, J. and Shi, J. (1999) Feature-preserving data compression of stamping tonnage information using wavelets. *Technometrics*, **41**, 327–339.
23. Jin, J., and Li, J. (2009) “Multiscale Wavelet Analysis for Mapping Aggregated Signal Features to Embedded Operations,” *IIE Transactions on Quality and Reliability*, Vol. 41 (7), pp. 615-625, 2009.
24. Lei, Y., Paynabar, K., Jin, J. and Agapiou, J. (2009) “Cyclic Waveform Signal Analysis for Online Monitoring of Valve Seat Assembly Processes,” *Transactions of the NAMRI/SME*, Volume 37.
25. Paynabar, K., Jin, J. (2011) “Characterization of Nonlinear Profiles Variations using Mixed-effect Models and Wavelets,” *IIE Transactions on Quality and Reliability Engineering*, **43**, 275–290.

#### **Complex PCA, multilinear PCA, ICA**

26. Colosimo B. M., and Pacella M. (2009) Complex PCA as an Exploratory Tool for 3-Dimensional Profiles. *Submitted to the Journal of Quality Technology*.
27. Lu, H., Plataniotis, K. N., and Venetsanopoulos, A. N. (2008). MPCA: Multilinear Principal Component Analysis of Tensor Objects, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, **19**, 18-39.
28. Lu, H.; Plataniotis, K. N.; and Venetsanopoulos, A. N. (2006). “Multilinear Principal Component Analysis of Tensor Objects for Recognition,” *In Proceedings of the*

*International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR 2006)*, pages 776~779, Hong Kong, China.

29. Lu, H.; Plataniotis, K. N.; and Venetsanopoulos, A. N. (2008b). Uncorrelated Multilinear Principal Component Analysis through Successive Variance Maximization. *In Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML 2008)*, pages 616~623, Helsinki, Finland.
30. Paynabar, K., Jin, J., and M. Pacella, "Uncorrelated Multilinear Principal Component for Analysis of Multi-Channel Sensing Data." *IIE Transactions on Quality and Reliability Engineering (under review)*.
31. Yang, Q. and Jin, J. (2011) "Separation of Individual Operation Signals from Mixed Sensor Measurements," to appear in *IIE Transactions on Quality and Reliability Engineering* (received the Best Paper Award in the Annual IE conference).
32. Zhou, S. and Jin, J. (2005), "Automatic Feature Selection for Unsupervised Clustering of Cycle-based Signals in Manufacturing Processes," *IIE Transactions on Quality and Reliability*, Vol. 37 (6), pp.569-584.

#### **Mixed models**

33. Demidenko E. (2004) *Mixed Models: Theory and Applications*, Wiley, New York, NY.
34. Jensen, W. A., and Birch, J. B. (2009) Profile monitoring via nonlinear mixed models. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 41,18-34.
35. Jensen, W. A., Birch, J. B., and Woodall, W. H. (2008) Monitoring Correlation Within Linear Profiles Using Mixed Models. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 40, 167~183.
36. Mosesova, S. A., Chipman, H. A., MacKay, R. J., Steiner, S. H. (2006) Profile monitoring using mixed-effects models. *Technical report RR-06-06*, University of Waterloo.
37. Paynabar, K., Jin, J., Agapiou, J., and Deeds, P. (2011) "Robust Leak Tests for Transmission Systems Using Nonlinear Mixed-Effect Models," Accepted by *Journal of Quality Technology*.
38. Qiu, P., Zou, C., and Wang, Z. (2010) Nonparametric Profile Monitoring By Mixed Effects Modeling, *Technometrics*, 52, 265-277.
39. Shiau, J. H., Huang, H., Lin S., and Tsai M. (2009) Monitoring nonlinear profiles with random effects by nonparametric regression. *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, 38, 1664~1679.

#### **MLE for change point detection**

40. Sullivan, J. H. (2002) Estimating the Locations of Multiple Change Points in the Mean. *Computational Statistics*, 17, 289-296.
41. Sullivan, J. H., and Woodall, W. H. (1996) A Control Chart for Preliminary Analysis of Individual Observations. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 28, 265-278.



42. Sullivan, J. H., and Woodall, W. H. (2000) Change-Point Detection of Mean Vector or Covariance Matrix Shifts Using Multivariate Individual Observations. *IIE Transactions-Quality and Reliability Engineering*, **32**, 537-549.
43. Worsley, K. J. (1979) On the likelihood ratio test for a shift in location of normal populations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **74**, 365-367.
44. Zamba, K. D., Hawking, D. M. (2006) A Multivariate Change-point Model for Statistical Process Control. *Technometrics*, **48**, 539-549.
45. Zou, C., Qiu, P., and Hawkins, D. (2009) Nonparametric Control Chart for Monitoring Profiles Using Change Point Formulation and Adaptive Smoothing. *Statistica Sinica*, **19**, 1337-1357.
46. Zou, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Z. (2006) A Control Chart Based on a Change-Point Model for Monitoring Profiles. *IIE Transactions-Quality and Reliability Engineering*, **38**, 1093-1103.

#### **Multivariate monitoring and causal decomposition**

47. Li, J. and Jin, J. (2010) "Optimal Sensor Allocation by Integrating Causal Models and Set-covering Algorithms," *IIE Transactions on Quality and Reliability*, Vol. 42, No. 8, pp. 564-576.
48. Li, J., Jin, J., and Shi, J. (2008) "Causation-based  $T^2$  decomposition for Multivariate Process Monitoring and Diagnosis" *Journal of Quality Technology*, Vol. 40 (1), pp. 46-58.

# COURSE PROFILE

**Degree Program:** IOE MS & PhD

**Date:** October 20, 2012

**Prepared by:** J. Judy Jin

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>COURSE #:</b> IOE5xx  |   |
| <b>TERMS OFFERED:</b> Winter   | <b>COURSE TITLE:</b> Statistical Learning and Applications in Quality Engineering<br>For each prerequisite below, "E" denotes Enforced and "A" denotes Advised.   |
| <b>TEXTBOOKS/REQUIRED MATERIAL:</b><br>Required textbook:<br>Duda, Hart, and Stork, <i>Pattern Classification</i> , Wiley, 2001.<br><br>Additional reference book:<br>Hastie, Tibshirani and Friedman, <i>The Elements of Statistical Learning</i> , Springer-Verlag, Second edition<br>Douglas C. Montgomery "Introduction to Statistical Quality Control", 6th edition.<br><br>Selected bibliography in the advanced quality control topic | <b>PREREQUISITES:</b> IOE 466 or Stats 500 (E)  |
| <b>INSTRUCTOR(S):</b> J. Judy Jin  | <b>COGNIZANT FACULTY:</b> J. Judy Jin   |
| <b>CoE BULLETIN DESCRIPTION:</b><br>To provide graduate students with knowledge and skills necessary to apply statistical learning and data transformation methods to advance quality control techniques for variation reduction, which include feature extraction of waveform signals, change point detection for system monitoring, data pattern recognition for fault diagnosis, and Bayes/reinforcement learning for decision making.    | <b>COURSE TOPICS:</b><br>Overview of advanced quality control topics on profile data monitoring and variation diagnosis; Maximum likelihood estimation, Bayes estimation, mixed model, change point detection methods; Selected supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning methods for variation pattern interference and diagnosis; Feature extraction via data transformation and feature selection methods |
| <b>COURSE STRUCTURE/SCHEDULE:</b> Lectures & discussion 2 per week @ 3 hours   |   |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>COURSE OBJECTIVES</b>   | Provide knowledge and skills necessary for <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. understanding basic principles and strategies for the advancement of quality control techniques in complex system operations using massive operational data</li> <li>2. selecting and applying appropriate data transforms for feature extraction of high dimensional data for system monitoring and decision making</li> <li>3. using supervised and unsupervised learning methods for improving system monitoring, diagnosis, and knowledge discovery,</li> <li>4. applying Bayes learning and reinforcement learning for continuously improving system decision making</li> <li>5. using Matlab in data analysis and problem solving</li> </ol>   |
| <b>COURSE OUTCOMES</b><br><br>For each course outcome, links to the Program Outcomes are identified. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use data classification and clustering methods to analyze data variation patterns for identifying the opportunity of quality improvement (1,2,3,5,</li> <li>2. Apply data transformation methods to extract low dimensional informative features from high dimensional functional data for system monitoring, variation inference, and fault diagnosis (1, 2,</li> <li>3. Apply Bayes learning and reinforcement learning for improving system modeling and decision making (1,2,3,5,</li> <li>4. Use Matlab program to implement the data analysis methods (2,8,9,10,11)</li> <li>5. Formulate and present a topic for improving a conventional quality control method (5,8,9,10)</li> <li>6. Team project and presentation (4,6,7,11)</li> <li>7. Read and understand literature in applying statistical learning methods to advance quality control techniques (1,5,6,8,9,10, 11)</li> </ol> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>ASSESSMENT TOOLS</b><br/>For each assessment tool,<br/>links to the course outcomes<br/>are identified.</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Homework assignments about every two weeks (1, 2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 11)</li><li>2. Midterm Exam (1, 2, 3, 5,</li><li>3. Final team project: report and oral presentation (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11)</li></ol> |
|---|---|

Revised 11 11 04



### Instructor Report

2010-04-09 - 2010-04-21 Report ID: MSR04732

Instructor: Jln,Judy  
IOE 591 023

|  | Responses from your Students** |   |   |   |    |    |              |              |              |              | Other Users of This Item* |                 |              |                |      |      |      |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|------|------|------|
|  | 5                              |   | 4 |   | 3  |    | 2            |              | 1            |              | Year<br>Median            | University Wide |              | School/College |      |      |      |
|  | SA                             | A | N | D | SD | NA | 75%<br>Above | 50%<br>Above | 25%<br>Above | 75%<br>Above |                           | 50%<br>Above    | 25%<br>Above |                |      |      |      |
| 1 Overall, this was an excellent course.                                 | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 3.83            | 4.17         | 4.60           | 4.08 | 4.33 | 4.67 |
| 2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.                      | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 4.00            | 4.50         | 4.81           | 4.20 | 4.55 | 4.80 |
| 3 I learned a great deal from this course.                               | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 3.95            | 4.25         | 4.67           | 4.17 | 4.42 | 4.71 |
| 4 I had a strong desire to take this course.                             | 6                              | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.75                      | 3.53            | 4.02         | 4.50           | 4.09 | 4.38 | 4.64 |
| 121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.  | 6                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.83                      | 3.93            | 4.17         | 4.50           |      |      |      |
| 140 I deepened my interest in the subject matter of this course.         | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 3.81            | 4.17         | 4.58           |      |      |      |
| 160 I participated actively in class discussion.                         | 4                              | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.50                      | 3.88            | 4.13         | 4.50           |      |      |      |
| 201 The instructor gave clear explanations.                              | 6                              | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.75                      | 4.00            | 4.38         | 4.75           |      |      |      |
| 202 The instructor made good use of examples and illustrations.          | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 4.00            | 4.31         | 4.70           |      |      |      |
| 205 The instructor put material across in an interesting way.            | 6                              | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.75                      | 4.00            | 4.42         | 4.78           |      |      |      |
| 207 The instructor appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject. | 8                              | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.94                      | 4.42            | 4.75         | 4.92           |      |      |      |
| 211 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulty with course work. | 8                              | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.94                      | 4.00            | 4.40         | 4.71           |      |      |      |
| 217 The instructor treated students with respect.                        | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 4.50            | 4.75         | 4.89           |      |      |      |
| 218 The instructor encouraged constructive criticism.                    | 6                              | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.75                      | 4.09            | 4.50         | 4.78           |      |      |      |
| 219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.  | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 4.30            | 4.66         | 4.83           |      |      |      |
| 229 The instructor used class time well.                                 | 7                              | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.86                      | 4.00            | 4.38         | 4.70           |      |      |      |
| 230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.                  | 8                              | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.94                      | 4.23            | 4.63         | 4.83           |      |      |      |
| 232 Work requirements and grading system were clear from the beginning.  | 5                              | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.60                      | 4.00            | 4.25         | 4.60           |      |      |      |
| 241 The instructor set high standards for students.                      | 6                              | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.75                      | 4.13            | 4.43         | 4.71           |      |      |      |
| 319 Writing assignments were interesting and stimulating.                | 5                              | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.70                      | 3.55            | 3.94         | 4.27           |      |      |      |
| 327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.                | 4                              | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.50                      | 3.50            | 4.00         | 4.25           |      |      |      |
| 340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.             | 4                              | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.33                      | 3.50            | 4.00         | 4.33           |      |      |      |
| 365 Grades were assigned fairly and impartially.                         | 5                              | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 4.60                      | 3.93            | 4.17         | 4.50           |      |      |      |

\* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2010 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on graduate level students in College of Engineering.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



# Instructor Report

Winter 2008

Instructor: **Jim, Jionghua**

IOE 591, Section 023 (272-591-023-1)

Other Users of This Item \*

| Item   | Responses from Your Students |   |   |   |    |     |        | University Wide |           |           |           | School/College |           |  |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|---|----|-----|--------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
|  | SA                           | A | N | D | SD | N/A | Median | 75% Above       | 50% Above | 25% Above | 75% Above | 50% Above      | 25% Above |  |
| 1 Overall, this was an excellent course.                                 | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.86            | 4.19      | 4.63      | 4.00      | 4.32           | 4.67      |  |
| 2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.                      | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 4.04            | 4.50      | 4.79      | 4.08      | 4.50           | 4.80      |  |
| 3 I learned a great deal from this course.                               | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.89            | 4.21      | 4.63      | 4.06      | 4.39           | 4.68      |  |
| 4 I had a strong desire to take this course.                             | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.36            | 3.92      | 4.39      | 4.00      | 4.22           | 4.63      |  |
| 121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.  | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 3.93            | 4.15      | 4.46      |           |                |           |  |
| 140 I deepened my interest in the subject matter of this course.         | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.81            | 4.11      | 4.50      |           |                |           |  |
| 160 I participated actively in class discussion.                         | 6                            | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.75   | 3.77            | 4.00      | 4.33      |           |                |           |  |
| 201 The instructor gave clear explanations.                              | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 3.95            | 4.26      | 4.65      |           |                |           |  |
| 202 The instructor made good use of examples and illustrations.          | 9                            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 5.00   | 4.00            | 4.30      | 4.67      |           |                |           |  |
| 205 The instructor put material across in an interesting way.            | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.98            | 4.33      | 4.69      |           |                |           |  |
| 207 The instructor appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject. | 9                            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 5.00   | 4.50            | 4.78      | 4.92      |           |                |           |  |
| 211 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulty with course work. | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 4.00            | 4.29      | 4.63      |           |                |           |  |
| 217 The instructor treated students with respect.                        | 9                            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 5.00   | 4.50            | 4.75      | 4.90      |           |                |           |  |
| 218 The instructor encouraged constructive criticism.                    | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 3.94            | 4.30      | 4.67      |           |                |           |  |
| 219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.  | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 4.25            | 4.61      | 4.81      |           |                |           |  |
| 220 The instructor used class time well.                                 | 5                            | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.60   | 4.00            | 4.30      | 4.64      |           |                |           |  |
| 230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.                  | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 4.25            | 4.63      | 4.83      |           |                |           |  |
| 232 Work requirements and grading system were clear from the beginning.  | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 3.98            | 4.25      | 4.59      |           |                |           |  |
| 241 The instructor set high standards for students.                      | 8                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.94   | 4.00            | 4.25      | 4.61      |           |                |           |  |
| 319 Writing assignments were interesting and stimulating.                | 6                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 1   | 4.92   | 3.69            | 4.00      | 4.32      |           |                |           |  |
| 327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.                | 7                            | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 1   | 4.93   | 3.44            | 3.86      | 4.20      |           |                |           |  |
| 340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.             | 6                            | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 0   | 4.75   | 3.31            | 3.86      | 4.23      |           |                |           |  |
| 365 Grades were assigned fairly and impartially.                         | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.91            | 4.17      | 4.55      |           |                |           |  |
| 431 Overall, the GSI was an excellent teacher.                           | 6                            | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.75   | 3.83            | 4.07      | 4.23      |           |                |           |  |
| 432 The GSI motivated me to do my best work.                             | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.50            | 3.81      | 4.04      |           |                |           |  |
| 433 The GSI gave clear and understandable explanations.                  | 6                            | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.75   | 3.80            | 3.95      | 4.22      |           |                |           |  |
| 434 The GSI appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject.        | 7                            | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.86   | 3.98            | 4.15      | 4.31      |           |                |           |  |
| 435 The GSI graded papers (exams, homework) fairly.                      | 6                            | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 4.75   | 3.93            | 4.06      | 4.24      |           |                |           |  |

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 9

\*The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2007 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on graduate level classes with an enrollment of in the College of Engineering.

\*\*There were too few classes in this category to generate meaningful comparative statistics.