The University of Michigan College of Engineering Curriculum Committee

Agenda October 21, 2003 1:30-3:00 p.m. GM ROOM Fourth Floor Lurie Engineering Center

- 1. Approval of Minutes from September 30, 2003 Meeting
- 2. ENGR 450 Presentation Bob Dennis
- 3. Course Approval Forms
- 4. ENGR 400 Presentation Bill Schultz

University of Michigan College of Engineering Curriculum Committee Meeting Tuesday September 30, 2003 1:30-3:30 p.m. Lurie Engineering Center GM Room Minutes

Greg Hulbert called the meeting to order at 1:40 p.m.

Members Present: G.Hulbert, C. Cesnik E. Chan, V. Chung J.Fessler, W. Hansen, J. Holloway, S, Montgomery, M. Parsons, J. Patel, H. Peng, R. Robertson, P. Samson

Members Absent: S. Pang, S. Takayama L. Thompson

Guest: Susan Bitzer sitting in for S.Takayama

Greg Hulbert suggested that meeting times be changed from 1:30 to 3:30 p.m. to: 1:30 to 3:00 p.m. since the meeting seems to thin out around 3:00. This change was approved.

Motion to approve the minutes of the last meeting

Under <u>Enforced/Advised Pre-requisites</u>: Addition: *The Curriculum Committee does NOT need to approve course changes from advised to enforced, or vice-versa*. The word *abstentions* under course approvals (ME 587) was corrected

The minutes of the last meeting were approved

NAME Program Changes

Included in the Meeting Packet were a letter from Michael Parsons regarding a NAME program change and the NAME sample schedule change

Mike talked about these. This was a continuation of the courses that were changed in the last CC meeting, an effort to respond to the change in Thermodynamics and Mechanical as will as strengthen the Electrical component of the NAME program. This was done by changing two 4 credit courses down to 3 credit hours (NAME 330 4 credits **changed** to *NAME 331 3 credits*; NAME 430 4 credits **changed** to *NAME 431 3 credits*.

Susan Montgomery noted that now this is down to nine unrestricted electives and that is the limit. Greg Hulbert called for motion to approve the NAME Program Change and the sample schedule pending approval of NAME 491. This was moved and seconded

Motion Carried (approved)

Greg noted that this will be going to the College Faculty meeting on October 21.

Course Approvals

Tabled Course: EECS 420 Modification – Changing Course Title, Changing Description. **Tabled Pending Syllabus.**

Greg Hulbert called for a motion to approve the following courses. This was moved and seconded.

Motion Carried (approved)

CEE 432 Deletion

CEE 500 (X-Listed with CHE 500 and ENSCEN 500) New Course Approved pending change in course description regarding water.

NAME 491 New Course

This was moved and seconded.

Greg Hulbert noted that he had sent out an e-mail regarding using the e-mail sub-committee for course approval forms and this will be in place for the next meeting. The course approvals will be sent to the Committee by Judy the Tuesday before the next CoE CC Meeting.

<u>Adjournment:</u> Motion to adjourn was made and seconded Motion carried (approved)

Next Meeting Tuesday, October 21, 2003 1:30-3:00 p.m. GM Room-LEC

COURSE APPROVAL FORMS

For October 21, 2003 CoE CC Meeting

CEE 230 New Course

CEE 490 Modification – Changing Max Credit Hours from 3 to 4.

ENGR 450 New Course

IOE 333 Modification – Changing Pre-requisites from IOE 265 to: *Preceded or accompanied by IOE 265*

IOE 836 Modification – Changing Level of Credit from Rackham Grad to: *Rackham Grad and Non-Rackham Grad*; Changing Max Credit Hours from 2 to: *1*

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN -- COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Course Approval Request

College Curriculum Committee, 1420 Lurie Engineering Center Building



Form	Number
1072	

Action Requested

Civi	B. REQUESTED LISTING lome Department Div # Course Number
Home Department Div # Course Number Home Civi	lome Department Div # Course Number
Civi	one Department
Cross Listed Course Information Cros	ril & Environmental Engineering 248 230
	ss Listed Course Information
Course Tills	ourse Title ermodynamics
ABBRE- ABI	TITLE Time Sched Max = 19 Spaces ATION Transcript Max = 20 Spaces Thermodynamics Thermodynamics
Course Description Cour En clo profilui aq	urse Description for Official Publication (Max = 50 words) Ingineering thermodynamics. First and second law applications for osed and open systems. Heat and refrigeration cycles. Physical operties of fluids and equations of state. Phase equilibria for pure hids and fluid mistures. Chemical reaction equilibria and queous-phase chemistry. Combustion processes. Vapor-liquid and solid-liquid equilibria.
PROGRAM OUTCOMES: a b c d e f g h i j k Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement O Free Elective O Other O Core Course O Tech Elective	PROGRAM OUTCOMES: a b x d e f g h i j x k Degree Requirements O Free Elective O O O O O O O O O
☐ Credit ☐	erequisites © Enforced () Advised edit strictions
Level of Credit Undergrad only Rackham Grad Non-Rckhm Grad Rockham Grad	wel of Credit Undergrad only Ugrad or Non-Rekhm Grad Rackham Grad All Credit types Non-Rekhm Grad Rekhm Grad w/add'l Work Ugrad or Rekhm Grad Ugrad or Rekhm Grad
C. Repeatability (Indi Research, Dir. Study, Dissertation: Is this course repeatable? Yes No Maximum Hours? Maximum Times? Can it be repeated in the same term? Yes No	Printing Information Print the course in the Bulletin (Optional) Print the course in the Time Schedule
Class Cla	erms &
Orac Orac	

Form Number

SI	JPP	OR	TIN	IG	ST	ΔТ	FΝ	/FN	JТ
J	JI I	\mathbf{v}			U I I	~ .			4 E

CEE 230 is the new undergraduate thermodynamics course in the CEE program. Additional supporting documentation for the introduction of this course is being provided to the College Curriculum Committee.
This course is being created to address problems that have been identified with CEE students taking the ME or CHE thermodynamics courses to satisfy their thermodynamics requirement. These courses are not well suited to provide the thermodynamics instruction that CEE students need, due to an emphasis on mechanical systems (in the ME course) and an overlap in content with CEE 260 (in the case of the CHE course). The new CEE 230 course will provide thermodynamics instruction with an emphasis on phase equilibria and chemical equilibrium calculations, a key component of the environmental portion of the CEE curriculum. The CEE 230 thermodynamics course will also integrate more effectively with the introductory environmental engineering course, CEE 260.
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Detail the Special requirements

Justification for new CEE Thermodynamics course:

Presently, CEE students have a choice between taking one of two courses, CHE 230 or ME 235, to satisfy their thermodynamics requirement. Neither course has proven to be satisfactory, for reasons detailed herein.

The ME thermodynamics course is principally focused on application of energy and entropy balances for analysis of heating and refrigeration cycles, work outputs and power generation, engines, and automotive or other propulsion systems. The textbook and course outline for ME 235 give relatively little attention to the topics of phase equilibria and chemical equilibria (one textbook chapter of coverage for each). While some coverage of heating and cooling cycles and work/power calculations is useful to CEE students as "general thermodynamic knowledge", the environmental porition of the CEE curriculum is better served by giving more attention to the subjects of phase equilibrium and reaction equilibrium (particularly for aqueous systems), while de-emphasizing the engine-related topics in thermodynamics.

The textbook and syllabus proposed for CEE 230 follows this recommendation, devoting three chapters of coverage to phase equilibria and two chapters to chemical reaction equilibria. The increased attention to these topics will benefit CEE students "down the line" when they need to apply their knowledge of phase and chemical equilibria to determine the distribution of reactive pollutants in multiphase environmental systems (air/surface water, soil/groundwater, etc.) in CEE 260, and to the design of pollution treatment systems for water, wastewater, soil and air in CEE 360 and 460. This is not meant to imply that the "ME flavored" topics of heating and refrigeration, power cycles and engines are not useful or important to know about; rather, it is argued here that they are less essential to the education of a CEE student than other topics that need to be covered within the allotted 4 credit hours for the thermodynamics portion of the CEE B.S. degree program.

The CHE 230 course covers phase equilibrium in more detail than ME 235, and so thematically it is a better fit to the CEE curriculum than ME 235. The problem with CHE 230 is that its coverage of material balances extensively overlaps the content of CEE 260. This redundancy penalizes CEE students, who do not normally go on to take the second course in the CHE thermodynamics sequence, CHE 330, in which topics such as solution chemistry and reaction equilibria are presented. Eliminating the topic of material balances from CEE 260 is not an option, because this course is the introductory CEE course in environmental engineering and as such it is taken by many non-majors (e.g. IOE students) who need instruction on material balance concepts. A new CEE thermodynamics course, CEE 230 is therefore indicated as the best solution to eliminating content overlap with CHE 230. This will also impart the additional benefit of providing more detailed coverage of phase equilibrium and reaction equilibrium, important content areas in environmental engineering that are not adequately addressed for CEE students by the ME 235 thermodynamics course.

CEE 230: Thermodynamics Course Information

Text:

Chemical and Process Thermodynamics, 3rd edition,

by B.G. Kyle, Prentice Hall, NJ, 1999

Coverage:

Chapters 1-6, 8-9, 11-15

Chapter 1: Introduction, process variables Chapter 2: First law, energy balances Chapter 3: Physical properties of fluids

Chapter 4: Second law, entropy concepts

Chapter 5: Free energy functions

Chapter 6: Heat effects
Chapter 8: Phase diagrams

Chapter 9: Phase equilibrium calculations
Chapter 11: Ideal and nonideal solutions

Chapter 12: Chemical equilibrium Reactive systems

Chapter 14: Mixing and separation processes Chapter 15: Heating and refrigeration cycles

Schedule:

Offered yearly in Fall semester, starting Fall 2003

Lecture WF 2:30 – 4:00 Recitation M 2:30 – 3:30

Homework:

7-8 homework sets (~1 every 2 weeks)

Exams:

Two one-hour midterm exams (weeks 6 & 12)

One two-hour final exam

All exams will be open book and open lecture notes

Grading:

Three exams (2 midterms + final) @ 25% each = 75% Homework average = 25% Total 100%

Website:

A course website will be maintained on U-M Coursetools

CEE 230: Thermodynamics Draft Syllabus

Week	Assigned Reading	Coverage
1	Chp 1,2	Introduction; the first law
2	Chp 2,3	Energy balances; phase diagrams
3	Chp 3	Equations of state; compressibility charts
4	Chp 4	Second law; reversibility
5	Chp 4,5	Entropy balances; free energy functions
6	Chp 6	Heat capacities; heats of formation
7	Chp 6,8	Applied thermochemistry; thermodynamic tables
8	Spring Break	
9	Chp 8,9	Pure component phase equilibria; phase rule
10	Chp 9	Ideal solutions; activity coefficients
11	Chp 11	Phase equilibria of mixtures; solubility
12	Chp 12	Chemical equilibria; standard states
13	Chp 12, 13	Reactions in aqueous solution; multiphase reactions
14	Chp 14	Mixing and separation; combustion reactions
15	Chp 15	Thermodynamic cycles; refrigeration

SAMPLE - REVISED STEP II 1-12-99

STEP II: Civil & Environmental Engineering Program

COURSE #: CEE 230	COURSE TITLE: Thermodynamics
TERMS OFFERED: Fall	PREREQUISITES: Chem 125&130 or Chem 210&211; Math 116
TEXTBOOKS/REQUIRED MATERIAL:	COGNIZANT FACULTY: C. Lastoskie
Chemical and Process Thermodynamics, 3 rd edition, by	DATE OF PREPARATION: 2/20/2003
B.G. Kyle, Prentice Hall, NJ 1999	
INSTRUCTOR(S): C. Lastoskie	SCIENCE/DESIGN: 3.5/0.5
CATALOG DESCRIPTION:	COURSE TOPICS: (number of hours in parentheses)
Engineering thermodynamics. First and second law applications for closed and open	1. First law and energy balances (5)
systems. Heat and refrigeration cycles. Physical properties of fluids and equations of	2. Equations of state (4)
state. Phase equilibria for pure fluids and fluid mixtures. Chemical reaction equilibria	3. Second law and entropy balances (5)
and aqueous-phase chemistry. Combustion processes. Vapor-liquid and solid-liquid	4. Enthalpy and heat capacity (6)
equilibria.	5. Pure-component phase equilibria (5)
1	6. Multicomponent phase equilibria (5)
	7. Chemical reaction equilibria (8)
	8. Thermodynamic cycles (4)

	1. To teach students how to formulate energy and entropy balances for flow and nonflow systems. [1,5,6]
	2. To educate students on the physical properties and phase behavior of pure substances. [1,2,0]
COURSE OBJECTIVES	
(links refer to	4. To teach students how to carry out phase equilibrium calculations for gas and liquid mixtures. [2,3]
course outcomes)	5. To educate students on principles of aquatic chemistry and solution-phase chemical reaction equilibria calculations. [3,4,5]
	6. To instruct students on how to formulate elemental balances for analysis of combustion and other chemical reactions. [4,5]
	1. Construct and solve energy and entropy balances for calculation of heat requirements and work inputs/outputs of physical processes. [a,c,e]
	2. Use equations of state and/or thermodynamic charts and tables to determine the physical properties of substances. [a]
COURSE OUTCOMES	3. Determine the compositions of gas and liquid mixtures using activity relationships, Raoult's and Henry's laws. [a]
(links refer to	4. Calculate the compositions of reactive aqueous solutions from chemical equilibrium equations. [a]
COE outcomes)	5. Analyze combustion processes and chemical/biological reaction systems using elemental mass balances. [a,e]
`	6. Combine conservation equations and thermophysical property data to solve design problems in civil and environmental engineering. [a,c,e,k]
	1. Weekly homework problem sets.
ASSESSMENT TOOLS	2. Two midterm examinations and one final examination.
	3 Lecture and office-hour discussions and student course evaluations.

Due Date:

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN -- COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Course Approval Request

College Curriculum Committee, 1420 Lurie Engineering Center Building

Complete the following sections:



Form	Number
1101	

Action Requested

New Course

Modification of Existing Course	New Courses - B & C com		Date 5/5/2003
 Deletion of Course 	Modifications - A modified Deletions - A & C complet	information, B & C completely tely	Effective Fall 2003
A. CURRENT LISTING		B. REQUESTED LISTING	
Home Department	Div # Course Number	Home Department Civil and Environmental Engineering	Div# Course Number
Cross Listed Course Information	<u> </u>	Cross Listed Course Information	
Course Title		Course Title Independent Study in Civil and Envir	ronmental Engineering
Time Sched			
TITLE Max = 19 Spaces VIATION Transcript		ABBRE- Max = 19 Spaces VIATION Transcript Ind Stud	
Max = 20 Spaces Course Description		Max = 20 Spaces Course Description for Official Publication (Max = 50 w	
		Individual or group experimental or to of Civil and Environmental Engineer arranged at the beginning of each to between the student and a faculty may be required.	ing. The program of work is erm by mutual agreement
PROGRAM OUTCOMES: a b c d e Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement O Core Course	f g h i j k ent O Free Elective O Other O Tech Elective	PROGRAM OUTCOMES: a b c d e f Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement (
Prerequisites	O Tech Elective	O Core Course Prerequisites Permission of Instructor	Tech Elective
Enforced (Advised Credit		 ◆ Enforced ← Advised Credit 	
Restrictions Level of Credit	Credit Hours Contact	Restrictions Level of Credit	Credit Hours Contact
Undergrad only Rackham Grad Non-Rckhm Grad Ugrad or Rokhm Grad Ugrad or Rckhm Grad	m Grad Min Max Hrs/Wk		rad Min Max Hrs/Wk <u>1-4</u>
Repeatability (Indi Research, Dir. Study Is this course repeatable? Yes No Maximum Hours? Maximum Time Can it be repeated in the same term? Yes	es?	Printing Information Print the course in the I (Optional) Print the course in the I	
Class Graded Lec Type(s) Lec Section Rec	Grading Location	Terms &	Half term 1st 2nd
Sem Selfi Lab Lab Dis Dis	CR/NC Ann Arbor S/U Biological Station P/F Camp Davis	Cognizant Faculty Member:	Title
Other Other	☐ Y ☐ Extension	Grad Course: Attach nomination if Cognizant Faculty is	not a regular graduate faculty
Approval		Submitted By: ■ Home Dept. □ Cross-	
Curriculum Comm.		Name, Signature & Department Home Dept Nikolaos Katopodes, CEE	. VII KII
☐ Faculty ☐ Rackham		Home Dept. NIKolaos Katopodes, CEt Cross-listed Dept(s).	- IIIM JARVIQ
Cross listed Unit 1			
Cross listed Unit 2		-	

Form Number

SUPPORTING STATEMENT
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Detail the Special requirements

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN -- COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Course Approval Request

College Curriculum Committee, 1420 Lurie Engineering Center Building



Form	Number
1171	

Action Requested

New Course
 Modification of Existing Course
 Deletion of Course

Complete the following sections:

New Courses - B & C completely Modifications - A modified information, B & C completely

Effective Winter 2004

Date 10/7/2003

Deletions - A & C completely **REQUESTED LISTING** A. CURRENT LISTING Course Number Div # Course Number Home Department Home Department 258 450 Engineering Cross Listed Course Information Cross Listed Course Information Course Title Course Title Multidisciplinary Design Time Sched Time Sched Multidisc Design TITLE Max = 19 Spaces Max = 19 Spaces ABBRE-Transcript Transcript Multidisc Design VIATION VIATION Max = 20 Spaces Max = 20 Spaces Course Description for Official Publication (Max = 50 words) Course Description A senior capstone interdisciplinary engineering design experience. The student is exposed to the design process from concept through analysis to system integration, prototyping, testing and report. Interdisciplinary projects are proposed from the different areas within engineering. Two hours of lecture and two laboratories. **PROGRAM OUTCOMES: PROGRAM OUTCOMES:** ⊠a ⊠b ⊠c ⊠d ⊠e ⊠f ⊠g ⊠h ⊠i □j ⊠k O Free Elective O Free Elective Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement O Other Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement O Core Course O Tech Elective O Core Course O Tech Elective Prerequisites Must meet individual engineering departmental requirements for Senior Design Prerequisites Enforced Advised Credit Senior Standing Credit Restrictions Level of Credit Level of Credit Contact Credit Hours Contact Credit Hours Ugrad or Non-Rckhm Grad All Credit types Hrs/Wk Undergrad only Ugrad or Non-Rokhm Grad Hrs/Wk Undergrad only Max All Credit types Rackham Grad Rackham Grad Number ☐ Non-Rckhm Grad ☐ Ugrad or Rckhm Grad Rckhm Grad w/add'l Work Rckhm Grad w/add'l Work Number Non-Rckhm Grad Ugrad or Rickhm Grad of Wks of Wks Repeatability (Indi Research, Dir. Study, Dissertation: ☑ Print the course in the Bulletin☑ Print the course in the Time Schedule Printing Information Is this course repeatable? O Yes O No Maximum Hours? Maximum Times? Can it be repeated in the same term? O Yes O No C Lec
C Rec
Sem
Lab
Dis
Ind
Other Half term 🔲 1st 1 🗆 II 🗆 IIIa 🔲 IIIb 🔲 III Terms & Class Graded Grading Location A-E
CR/NC
S/U
P/F
Y Rec
Sem
Lab
Dis
Ind
Other Yearly Alter Years Even Years Odd Years Ann Arbor Biological Station Camp Davis ☐ Biological a
☐ Camp Davi
☐ Extension Cognizant Faculty Member: Robert Dennis Grad Course: Attach nomination if Cognizant Faculty is not a regular graduate faculty Submitted By: Home Dept. Cross-listed Dept. Name, Signature & Department Curriculum Comm. Home Dept. Engineering Levi Thompson ☐ Faculty Cross-listed Dept(s). ☐ Rackham ☐ Cross listed Unit 1 Cross listed Unit 2 10

Ì	F	$\overline{}$	rı	m	N	li.	m	n	h	۵	1
ı	_	u		ш	ı٧	IL.	и	11	u	C	1

1171	

The design faculty at the University of Michigan have a collective vision and consensus that a multidisciplinary Major Design Experients MDE) involving students from many different departments, both within and outside of the CoE, would provide an excellent opportunity, or professional development and technical training of our students. Toward realizing this vision, we propose a pilot course "ENG 450", o be offered by the CoE initially as a senior capstone design experience, with the understanding that the scope and inclusiveness of the course is to evolve as we gain exprience with such a course. By developing this course, U of M will assume a position of the course is to evolve as we gain exprience with such a course.
······································
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Detail the Special requirements

ENG450: Multidisciplinary Design

CATALOG DESCRIPTION: A senior capstone interdisciplinary engineering design experience. The student is exposed to the design process from concept through analysis to system integration, prototyping, testing and report. Interdisciplinary projects are proposed from the different areas within engineering. Two hours of lecture and two laboratories.

Core Instructors (first 2 terms)	Departmental Affiliation
Robert Dennis	Mechanical & Biomedical Engineering
Sridhar Kota	Mechanical Engineering
Nilton Renno	Atmospheric, Oceanic & Space Science
Thomas Zurbuchen	Space Physics Research Laboratory

Pilot Project Leaders:	contact information
Robert Dennis (Faculty)	bobden@umich.edu
Nilton Renno (Faculty)	nrenno@umich.edu
Thomas Zurbuchen (Faculty)	thomasz@umich.edu
Michael A Drake (Corporate Relations)	madrake@umich.edu
Anna Paulson (student, Mars Rover Team)	apaulson@umich.edu

Long range objective:

To develop a curriculum that provides all engineering students with an opportunity to engage in a truly multidisciplinary design experience that crosses the boundaries of individual departments and academic term limitations, and to promote excellence in system-level design, complex project management, technical communication, and student-to-student mentoring and leadership skills.

Vision:

To establish a multidisciplinary major design experience that provides systems engineering training for undergraduate students.

Credits: 4 Credit Hours. Credit toward program will be defined by each Department: "Free Elective", "Technical Elective", or "Senior Capstone Design Requirement".

Lectures: two one-hour lectures each week, plus two three-hour laboratory sessions each week

Web Page: All course materials will be available from a central web page.

Overall structure of the course (divided into the following four periods, approximately by month):

- I January: Design Specification + Concept Generation
- II February: Concept Development & Selection, Detailed Design & Process Specification
- III -March: α-Prototype & Quantitative Evaluation of Subsystem Performance
- IV April: Redesign, β-Prototype, System Integration & Evaluation, Final Presentation & Report Spring/Summer: interested students remain engaged as volunteers, or in independent study courses

Course Structure:

At the beginning of the term the students will be presented with the design opportunity from the standpoint of the overall *mission objective*, not from the standpoint of individual, pre-determined design projects. Each student will select one of the available Design Missions, and design teams will be formed to define and address a set of open-ended design problems for each mission. In subsequent terms, part of this effort will be directed toward developing an understanding of previous work on the Design Missions. For newly-introduced Design Missions, the students will have the somewhat different, but equally challenging requirement of determining what has been done elsewhere to address their specific Design Mission, and to identify related design efforts, relevant technologies, and other initial resources. Each Design Mission will have one or two faculty who serve as "mission advisors", who have agreed to serve for the duration of the Design Mission, a time period that would be expected to span at least several years.

The lectures will be structured around the modern system for conceptual development and design, which is common to all engineering domains. By emphasizing philosophical and conceptual approaches that span engineering disciplines, students will come to realize that their highly-specialized engineering tools can be generalized and brought to bear on a very wide range of engineering and technical problems. The course will be structured around a series of lectures, with parallel laboratory and discussion exercises, following the sequence outlined below:

- 1. Problem definition: quantitative definition of the engineering problem or opportunity
- 2. Design specification: definition of quantitative figures of merit (FoM) for performance
- 3. Concept generation: collect and categorize a large number of design concepts
- 4. Concept evaluation: quantitatively evaluate each design concept
- 5. Convergence: reduce the number of concepts by merging the best attributes of each
- 6. Concept selection: identify the best concept(s) for detailed engineering design & analysis
- 7. Detailed design & analysis: utilize engineering design and analysis tools
- 8. Alpha prototype construction: sub-system prototyping, demonstrate "proof of concept"
- 9. Alpha prototype evaluation: evaluate on the basis of the FoM from the Specification
- 10. Re-design: evaluate failures and identify opportunities to improve performance
- 11. Beta prototype construction: focus on system integration
- 12. Beta prototype evaluation: evaluate system level performance
- 13. Project Wrap-up/Transition: document the design in detail for future students

Generally, it will be assumed that students enrolled in ENG450 will bring domain-specific knowledge from their individual departmental training, so lectures will focus on the general design process and system-level engineering, applicable to all engineering disciplines. Lectures for the course will include general lectures on the design process, with specific examples taken from a wide range of engineering disciplines. Guest lecturers will provide particular examples to demonstrate the generality of the design sequence that the students are carrying out for their team design projects. Domain-specific lectures will also be incorporated to familiarize students with new material that pertains to their design projects, such as planetary environments, mechatronic design, materials in design, occupational biomechanics, etc.

The design sequence above will be carried out over the period of one academic term (14 weeks). At key points during the term, the students will undergo formal Design Reviews. Four such Design Reviews will be instituted at the completion of each key design milestone: (1) Design Specification and Concept Generation, (2) Concept Selection and Detailed Design & Analysis, (3) α -Prototype evaluation, and (4) Redesign & β -Prototype evaluation. At each major milestone, students will be required to communicate effectively with other students on the project who are trained in different disciplines. Evidence of system-level integration must be documented at each Design Review. At each Design Review, the students will be required to provide both oral and written deliverables specific to each design milestone. Grading will be on the basis of team performance during each of the Design Reviews, confidential student self- and team-evaluations, and instructor evaluation of deliverables.

The deliverables for the course will emphasize the appropriate use of engineering tools from each discipline and the clear technical communication of key concepts and details of the design in the form of a comprehensive Engineering Notebook that is a cumulative record of all engineering activities, including the final Design Specification, a detailed Bill of Materials, component, material, and process specifications, test results, sketches and photographs, detailed designs and analyses, software source code, meeting notes, engineering change notices, etc.

Grading: No examinations. Grading is on the basis of graded deliverables, presentations, and Peer Evaluations

% of grade Graded Deliverable for each of the four periods:

- 25% I Design Review I: Detailed Design Specification & at least 5 Design Concepts
- 25% II Design Review II: Review of Concept Selection and Detailed Design & Engineering Analysis
- 25% III Evaluation of α-Prototype vs. Design Specification: Quantitative Metrics
- 25% IV Evaluation of Redesign, β-Prototype and Final Presentation & Report

Peer Evaluations at each Deliverable will count heavily toward individual grades.

Requirements for each Deliverable:

I - Design Review I: Detailed Design Specification & 5 Design Concepts

Peer Evaluation I from each team member (these will remain confidential)

Definition of the Design Problem or Opportunity (brief, 1 paragraph)

OFD Chart filled in for each Project, including:

An evaluation of competing systems, if any

An evaluation of 5 alternative Design Concepts

A Gantt Chart (a timetable of each step in the design process until completion of the α -Prototype)

Definition of Functional Metrics (how will you quantitatively evaluate your prototypes?)

II - Design Review II: Review of Detailed Design & Engineering Analysis

Peer Evaluation II from each team member (these will remain confidential)

The Detailed Design must include:

3-view drawings of all components to be manufactured in the Machine Shop

A description of manufacturing processes to be used for fabrication of the parts

A Bill of Materials (BoM), listing each item to be purchased, including the vendor & cost

III - Evaluation of a-Prototype vs. Design Specification: Quantitative Metrics

Peer Evaluation III from each team member (these will remain confidential)

Completed Gantt Chart, showing Projected Time Table vs. Actual Time Table

The α-Prototype hardware

Prototype Evaluation: Quantitative functional test results for the α -Prototype hardware (vs. Design Spec)

A detailed critique of your design, quantitatively comparing performance against your Design Specification

Final Bill of Materials (BoM) for the α-Prototype

IV - Evaluation of Redesign and β-Prototype (at the Design Expo)

Peer Evaluation IV from each team member (these will remain confidential)

Redesign Plan based on evaluation of the α-Prototype, to include:

Engineering Change Notices (ECNs), Modifications to the Design Specification, Detailed Design & BoM

β-Prototype Hardware and Poster for the EXPO

Final Bill of Materials (BoM) for the β-Prototype

Engineering Notebook (this includes records of all aspects of the design process, from Day 1)

Expectations of Student Performance:

Students will be expected to utilize broadly-distributed University resources, their Sponsors, and all other available resources to gather information and to enable them to solve the problems associated with their Design Mission.

Enrollment Eligibility:

Because this is a "capstone" design experience, students will be required to have senior standing to enroll in the course for credit. We anticipate that the interdisciplinary nature of the design projects will attract students from many levels, so we will allow interested students at any level to unofficially audit the course and take part, to a limited extent, in the Design Mission. Such students are accepted at the discretion of the course instructors. Please see text below for a detailed discussion.

Outline of Proposed Syllabus:

Lec.	Topic	[Lecturer] Deliverables	Due
#1	Introduction, Inspiration, Project Mission Descriptions Place each Design Mission into the context of current events	[???] and previous work	
#2	Definition of sub-system design opportunities, team formation, team Students make contact with their sponsors & Principle Faculty	n roles y Mentors	
#3	The Modern Design Process (applicable to all engineering discipling Project Planning, Problem Definition, Notebook, QFD, Gant IDEO Video	les): t, Pugh, Design Specification [Dennis]	
#4	Domain-Specific Design Considerations, Lecture 1: (Example: plan	netary environments)	
#5	Domain-Specific Design Considerations, Lecture 2: (Example: spa	cecraft engineering)	
#6	Domain-Specific Design Considerations, Lecture 3: (Example: Hu	man Factors, Occupational Biomechanics, and I	Ergonomics)
#7	Domain-Specific Design Considerations, Lecture 4: (Example: elec	etro-mechanical systems & mechatronics)	
#8	Student Group Presentations: Design Review #1	Design Specification	Due
#9	Domain-Specific Design Considerations, Lecture 5: (Example: Bio	omedical Design)	
#10	Domain-Specific Design Considerations, Lecture 5: (Example: Me	chanisms)	
#11	System-level Design, Lecture 1: Overview		
#12	Systems Design Case Studies: Example: Systems Design in Aeros	pace Engineering (Invited Speaker)	
#13	Systems Design Case Studies: Example: Systems Design in Biom	edical Engineering (Invited Speaker)	
#14	Design Tools: Example: Reverse Engineering: Dissection of a Med	chatronic Device	
#15	Design Tools: Example: CAD-UG		
#16	Student Group Presentations: Design Review #2	Detailed Design Due	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n
#17	Design Tools: Example: Rapid Prototyping		
#18	Design Tools: Example: Modern Manufacturing Processes		
#19	Design Tools: Example: Design for Ease of Assembly & Manufac	turing	
#20	Robust Design: Design of Experiments		
#21	No Lecture (Lecture and Lab time periods are allocated for in	itensive prototype development)	
#22	No Lecture (Lecture and Lab time periods are allocated for in	itensive prototype development)	
#23	First Prototype review and Evaluation: α-Prototypes MUST be co	mplete. <i>a-Prototype Due</i>	
#24	No Lecture (Lecture and Lab time periods are allocated for in	itensive prototype development)	
#25	No Lecture (Lecture and Lab time periods are allocated for in	ntensive prototype development)	
#26	No Lecture (Lecture and Lab time periods are allocated for in	ntensive prototype development)	
#27	No Lecture (Lecture and Lab time periods are allocated for it	atensive prototype development)	
#28	Final Design Review: β-Prototypes are due	ß-Prototype Due	

Note: Deliverables and Peer Evaluations (ENG450 web page) are due immediately following the indicated lecture, or as arranged with your instructor.

Student eligibility:

Typically, a multidisciplinary design experience of this type would be defined as a "capstone" design experience. In practice, this means that students are near graduation, having taken all or most of their technical courses in preparation for this capstone experience. At the University of Michigan and elsewhere, this is usually enforced by requiring a series of upperlevel courses as prerequisites before students are permitted to enroll in the capstone course. In adhering to our collective vision for this new curriculum, we feel that this requirement is too restrictive. In the interests of involving students at all levels, we propose to institute the following policy: in order to enroll in the course, students must meet the prerequisite course requirements and standing within their department, but to participate, students need only be able to commit adequate time as a volunteer assistant. This experimental approach to instruction brings with it several challenges, but it also opens many opportunities for the personal and professional development of our students. Students could potentially become involved in a design mission early in their freshman year, only to enroll in the course and actually receive course credit late in their senior year. The challenges inherent in this experimental approach include the difficulty of predicting of student numbers, safety training, adequacy of available facilities, the administrative logistics of managing larger numbers of students, and the inability to enforce the execution of responsibilities given to students who are not enrolled in the course. These challenges are by far overshadowed by the positive aspects of this experimental approach, which includes an excellent opportunity to nurture student leadership and self-organization among students, mentorship from senior to junior students, a sense of long-term involvement on a project that could very well be the basis for a future career, an atmosphere of diversity and inclusivity, the opportunity for students to watch and participate in a design concept as it evolves over a long period of time, the opportunity for students to directly benefit and learn from the successes and failures of their peers, the opportunity for underclassmen to see the ultimate application of the courses which they are only beginning to take, and the ability of students to establish and maintain contact with an external sponsor on a project of mutual interest for several years before graduation.

Some might suggest that students would never volunteer to do work for which they would receive no pay or credit. Our experience at the University of Michigan is strongly to the contrary. We have several very well established competitive teams made up entirely of student volunteers, and operating entirely outside of the system of grades and credits. These students self organize into highly professional and internationally competitive design teams that work evenings and weekends and holidays, driven entirely by their inherent interest in the process of learning to engineer complex, functional and competitive systems. Among these teams are notably the Solar Car Team, Mars Rover, the Formula SAE and Mini Baja race teams, the steel bridge design team, and the concrete canoe team. Recently, these teams have also included the Human-Powered Helicopter team, the Future car team, and many others. Post-graduate surveys carried out by the Department of Mechanical Engineering clearly indicate that the students who participate in these teams have correspondingly greater success in the early stages of their professional careers, particularly in their ability to successfully get job offers in areas of interest to them. The massive participation of students in these teams is a clear indication that the students are interested in, and in fact are looking for, challenging systems-level design opportunities. The main failing of this system is the lack of faculty participation. When working on these competitive teams, students typically have limited or no access to systematic faculty support. We feel that by allowing students at all levels to participate in this new design

curriculum, we will institute a system whereby the most dedicated and enthusiastic students will self select for inclusion and there will be a systematic incentive for faculty involvement, thereby achieving a level of excellence impossible by any other means.

Key components of the Proposed Course:

- The Design Missions will be "customer oriented", with strong emphasis on technical communication in several dimensions:

students \leftrightarrow sponsor faculty \leftrightarrow students senior students \leftrightarrow junior students discipline $X \leftrightarrow$ discipline Y current academic term \leftrightarrow past/future terms

- Each Design Mission will generate a series of prototypes which will embody the multidisciplinary design effort, enabling students to get real-world feedback on their design decisions.
- The Design Missions will span many disciplines and several semesters, permitting projects of much greater scope and complexity to be incorporated in this course than has been possible in previous senior design courses.
- Each Design Mission will have at least one "mission advisor" faculty member with a long-term commitment to the Design Mission, and the overall curriculum will have several faculty members from different engineering disciplines who have made a long-term commitment to the development and administration of this course.
- The course will be guided by feedback from individual engineering departments, to assure that the course meets the needs of their students. Departmental curriculum advisors and design instructors will be regularly encouraged to provide specific input on the content and scope of the course.
- Individual departments will retain the authority to determine the proper place for the course in the context of their departmental requirements and standards, and will permit students to take the course in one of the following capacities:
 - (1) in fulfillment of their senior capstone design requirement,
 - (2) as a technical elective, or
 - (3) as a "free" elective.

Departments will also evaluate each Design Mission for suitability in each of these three categories. For example, the Department of Civil Engineering may authorize students to participate in Design Mission X in fulfillment of their senior design requirement, whereas they may only allow free elective credit for students working on Design Mission Z.

Design Missions:

Design Missions for this course will be developed in consultation with individual sponsors. The initial target sponsor is NASA, but we anticipate considerable diversity in the future, to include biomedical device manufacturers, private foundations, and industrial sponsors.

Proposed Design Missions:

Mission I: Mars Autonomous Robot System. Students will be presented with the broad problem of the establishment of a permanent base on Mars, operated by a fleet of autonomous robots. Students will be challenged with defining the major and minor objectives of this broadly-defined mission, in consultation with their sponsors at NASA. They will be encouraged to consider the larger issues, such as societal impact, scientific value, and potential corporate interest in future missions to Mars. Students will then form design teams to address specific design challenges within the context of the overall Design Mission.

Mission II: Human performance assessment and augmentation systems. Students will be presented with the Design Mission of developing systems to monitor and augment human performance in harsh environments. The Mission will incorporate aspects from occupational biomechanics, exercise physiology, biomechanics, metabolic and mechanical monitoring, prosthetic and orthotics device design, and "exoskeleton" mechanisms to amplify human performance. Students will be encouraged to consider broader societal implications of their work, such as use in extra-terrestrial environments, defense applications, the use of the technology for athletic training, physical and occupational therapy, and to assist disabled persons by enhancing mobility and the ability to carry out the activities of daily living.

Future curricular and infrastructural support developments:

Future development of the proposed course and the related curriculum will be based on our experience with ENG450 as a pilot course in the winter of 2004 and 2005. We anticipate the following issues to be of importance for the further development of the multidisciplinary design curriculum:

- Expansion to accommodate larger numbers of students
- Establishment of dedicated space for design laboratory prototyping facilities
- Enhancement of content to address individual departmental requirements to fulfill senior capstone design credit
- Expansion to include additional long-term projects and new sponsors
 - o (NASA and non-NASA partners: MedTronic, small/disadvantaged business, academic laboratories)
- ENG 450 to span several semesters (evolve into a 1-year course)

Offer ENG 450 both Fall and Winter terms

Offer ENG 450 Spring/Summer (year round)

- Explicit linkages with established design courses from individual departments
- Feeder courses or modules for underclassmen to receive credit
- Develop a graduate level course (Multidisciplinary Design & Project Management)
- Engagement on non-engineering students (e.g. physics, chemistry, biology, business, ...)
- Seek support from industry partners & foundations to maintain and expand curricular infrastructure

- Teaming with other Universities and outside institutions
- Maintain close collaborative ties with Sponsors to generate new projects
- Initiate student internship track for students at sponsoring institutions
- Trans-disciplinary teaching workshops taught by students, faculty or staff (examples):
 - o Embedded systems design
 - o Signals and sensors
 - o Extra-terrestrial environments
 - o Design for environment
 - o CAD-CAM
 - o Machine shop practices
 - o Assembly and testing

STEP II: Multidisciplinary Engineering Design Course (Pilot Proposal)

COURSE #: ENG 450	COURSE TITLE: Multidisciplinary Design
TERMS OFFERED: Winter	PREREQUISITES: Must meet individual engineering departmental requirements for Senior Design. <i>Not open to graduate students</i> .
TEXTBOOKS/REQUIRED MATERIAL: None	COGNIZANT FACULTY: Robert Dennis DATE OF PREPARATION: 10/1/2003
COURSE LEADER(S): Robert Dennis & Nilton Renno	SCIENCE/DESIGN:
CATALOG DESCRIPTION: A senior capstone interdisciplinary engineering design experience. The student is exposed to the design process from concept through analysis to system integration, prototyping, testing and report. Interdisciplinary projects are proposed from the different areas within engineering. Two hours of lecture and two laboratories.	COURSE TOPICS: 1. Team design project-open-ended problem solving. Systematic design procedures include: • Understanding customer requirements. Task clarification. • Project management. • Patent search and competitive benchmarking. • Product design specifications, and quality function deployment. • Conceptual design & selection matrix. • Simple mathematical models of the final concept. • Presentation of project proposal. • Parameter design of various components of design. • Material and manufacturing tolerances. • Safety and liability. • Selection of off-the-shelf components. • Fabrication of custom components. • System integration 2. Construction of physical prototypes as proof-of-concept. 3. Demonstration and presentation of final project. 4. Technical communication with Sponsor, experts in disciplines other than that of the student, and design teams in future terms.

(numbers shown in brackets are links to department educational outcomes) 1. Apply engineering fundamentals to solve an open-ended design problem supplied by an industrial partner. The problem must provide opportunities for creative COURSE design. Each student team works on a different project. (1,5,9) **OBJECTIVES*** 2. Work as a team on a "real-world" engineering design problem. (2,3,6,9) 3. Provide a practical solution to the problem keeping in mind performance, safety, cost, weight, and many other constraints specified by the customer (project sponsor). (1,2,4,5,6,8,9) 4. Generate and evaluate design concepts after gaining a good understanding of the problem background, and existing design concepts. (1,4,7)5. Identify a set of design variables and the governing equations and optimize the design. (4,5) 6. Develop a physical prototype of the final design. (8) 7. All team members participate in proposal and final design presentations. (2,3,9) (numbers shown in brackets are links to course objectives) {Letters = ABET outcomes} 1. Given an open-ended "real-world" engineering design problem, suggest, evaluate, and develop potential solutions. (1,3,4) {c, e} COURSE 2. Learn to work with and manage multiple sub-tasks with limited physical, financial, and time resources. (2,3,7) {f} **OUTCOMES*** 3. Learn to work in interdisciplinary design teams. (2,7) {d} 4. Learn to make appropriate assumptions and exercise engineering judgment in solving an open-ended problem. (3,4,5) {a, h} 5. Learn to handle uncertain and incomplete information effectively in order to meet project goals. (1,3,5) {b} 6. Learn to communicate with the customer (project sponsor), peers, instructor, vendors, and other engineers in different disciplines. (2,3) {g} 7. Learn patent search procedures, specification and procurement of off-the-shelf components, independent learning, time and project management. (4) {i} 8. Learn to fabricate custom-components and construct and test a complete physical prototype. (3,6) {**b**, **k**} 9. Learn to present their project work to sponsors, instructors, other teams and even non-technical audience during the Design Expo. (1,2,3,7) {g} 1. Monthly Design Reviews with assigned Deliverables ASSESSMENT **TOOLS** 2. End of term projects: α - and β -prototype, Final Reports

^{*}The ABET99 Group suggests up to 6 objectives and 1-3 outcomes per objective.

Program Outcomes and Assessment for ABET

Engineering programs must demonstrate that their graduates have:

- a) An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science and engineering
- b) An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data
- c) An ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs
- d) An ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams
- e) An ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems
- f) An understanding of professional and ethical responsibility
- g) An ability to communicate effectively
- h) The broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context
- i) A recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning
- j) A knowledge of contemporary issues
- k) An ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice.

11

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN -- COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING **Course Approval Request**

College Curriculum Committee, 1420 Lurie Engineering Center Building



Form	Number
1176	

Action Requested

New CourseModification of Existing CourseDeletion of Course

Complete the following sections:

New Courses - B & C completely
Modifications - A modified information, B & C completely
Deletions - A & C completely

Date 10/7/2003 Effective Winter 2004

	A. CURRENT LISTING	B. REQUESTED LISTING			
	Home Department Div # Course Number	Home Department Div # Course Number			
٦		Industrial and Operations Engineering 272 333			
	Cross Listed Course Information	Cross Listed Course Information			
			ļ		
_					
	Course Title	Course Title ERGONOMICS	ļ		
			-		
	TITLE ABBRE- ABRE- ABRE-	TITLE ABBRE- Max = 19 Spaces ERGONOMICS			
	VIATION Transcript Max = 20 Spaces	VIATION Transcript ERGONOMICS Max = 20 Spaces			
_	Course Description	Course Description for Official Publication (Max = 50 words)			
	Course Description	Introduction to human sensory, decision, control, and motor system	s		
		in the context of visual, auditory, cognitive and manual task evaluation and design. Problems with computer displays,			
		illumination, noise, eye-hand coordination, as well as repetitive and			
		high physical effort tasks are presented. Work place and vehicle			
		design strategies used to resolve these are discussed.			
		PROGRAM OUTCOMES:			
	PROGRAM OUTCOMES:	☐ PROGRAM COTCOMES. ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐			
	a b c d e f g h i j k				
	Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement O Free Elective O Other O Tech Elective	Degree Requirements O Degree Requirement O Free Elective O Other O Tech Elective			
Х	Prerequisites IOE 265 © Enforced © Advised	Prerequisites Preceded or accompanied by IOE 265 Denforced Advised			
	Credit	Credit Restrictions			
	Restrictions Level of Credit Credit Hours Contact	Level of Credit Credit Hours Contact	_		
\neg	☐ Undergrad only ☐ Ugrad or Non-Rckhm Grad ☐ Min Max ☐ Hrs/Wk				
_	□ Non-Rokhm Grad □ Rokhm Grad w/add'l Work □ Number of Wks □ Ugrad or Rokhm Grad	□ Non-Rckhm Grad □ Rckhm Grad w/add'l Work 3 3 Number □ Ugrad or Rckhm Grad of Wks 14	<u> </u>		
		Printing Information Print the course in the Bulletin	_		
C.	Repeatability (Indi Research, Dir. Study, Dissertation: Is this course repeatable? Yes No	(Optional) Print the course in the Time Schedule			
	Maximum Hours? Maximum Times?				
	Can it be repeated in the same term? O Yes O No	Torms & ■ I ■ II □ IIIa □ IIIIb □ III Half term □ 1st			
	Class Graded ⊙ Lec Grading Type(s) ☑ Lec Section ○ Rec Location	Freq. of			
	☐ Hec ☐ Sem ☐ A-E ☐ CR/NC ☐ Ann Arbor	Offering Yearly Alter Years Even Years Odd Years			
	☐ Lab ☐ Dis ☐ S/U ☐ Biological Station ☐ Dis ☐ Dis ☐ Camp Davis	Cognizant Faculty Yili Liu Title Professor Member:			
	☐ Ind ☐ Other ☐ Y ☐ Extension ☐ Other	Grad Course: Attach nomination if Cognizant Faculty is not a regular graduate faculty			
	A				
-	Approval Curriculum Comm	Submitted By: Home Dept. Coss-listed Pept. Name, Signature & Department			
L	Curriculum Comm.	Home Dept.	_		
[Cross-listed Dept(s).	_		
ָ	Rackham				
L T	Cross listed Unit 1 Cross listed Unit 2				
•		······································	3		

Form Number

SUPPORTING STATEMENT	or have taken IOE 265
Change in prerequisite. Students can take IOE 333 if they are also taking	or nave taken 195, cos.

	.,
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Detail the Special requirements	

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN -- COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Course Approval Request

College Curriculum Committee, 1420 Lurie Engineering Center Building



Form	Number
1178	*

Action Requested

O New Course Modification of Existing Course
Deletion of Course

Complete the following sections:
New Courses - B & C completely
Modifications - A modified information, B & C completely
Deletions - A & C completely

Date 10/8/2003 Effective Winter 2004

A. CU	RRENT LISTING			QUESTED LIST	ING	Div #	Course Number		
Home Depar	Home Department Div # Course Number			Home Department Div # Course Number Industrial and Operations Engineering 272 836					
Cross Listed 0	Course Information		Cross Listed	Course Information					
Course Title			Course Title	R IN HUMAN PE	RFORMANCE	·	and the second		
TITLE	Time Sched Max = 19 Spaces		TITLE ABBRE-	Time Sched Max = 19 Spaces	SEM HUMAN F	PERFORM			
ABBRE- VIATION	Transcript Max = 20 Spaces		VIATION	Transcript Max = 20 Spaces iption for Official Publicat	SEM HUMAN F	PERFORM			
PRO	GRAM OUTCOMES:		performa research	nce and safety for will discuss their		actively enga ults.	ged in		
□ a □	b c d e f		a Degree Re	b C d	e f g Bequirement O Free Ele	h i ective O Other	j		
Degree Re	O Core Course O Tec	ch Elective		Core C					
Prerequisite	s C Enforced C Advised		Credit	○ Enforced ○ Adv	vised				
Credit			Restrictions				 		
X Level of Cre	d only Ugrad or Non-Rckhm Grad Grad All Credit types nm Grad Rckhm Grad w/add'l Work	Credit Hours Min Max 1 2 Number of Wks	Level of Cr Undergra Rackham Non-Rckl Ugrad or	d only Ugrad o	or Non-Rckhm Grad dit types Grad w/add'l Work	Credit Hours Min Max11	Contact Hrs/Wk1 Number of Wks1		
Is this cou	epeatability (Indi Research, Dir. Study, Dissertationse repeatable?	on:	Printing	Information Print the (Optional)	ne course in the Bulletin ne course in the Time Sched	dule			
Class	Graded O Lec Grade Lec Section O Rec Rec O Sem A	Location	Freq. of	Yearly Alter Years	lib 🔲 III s 🔲 Even Years 🔲 Odd		alf term 🔲 1st 🔲 2nd		
	Sem	/NC 🛛 Ann Arbor J 🔲 Biological Station	Cognizant Fa Member: Grad Course		Don Chaffin gnizant Faculty is not a regu	Title Profe			
Approval Curricu	lum Comm.		Name, Signatu	Submitted By: Home	Dept. Cross lieted De	bt. //	7		
☐ Faculty ☐ Rackha			Home D Cross-listed I	•		7	25		

This change is to make this course offering 1 credit only. In the past the course was differently designed. This is an effort to ensure
students only register for 1 credit.
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course? □ Yes ⋈ No Detail the Special requirements
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?
Are any special resources or facilities required for this course?